Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for elementary/middle schools include the following:
 - $\circ \quad \text{State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics} \\$
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - Achievement Gap
 - English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety
- The required goals for high schools include the following:
 - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety

- o Postsecondary Readiness
- o Graduation Rate

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three to five year targets for each required school level indicator. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.).	Describe the actionable steps that will occur to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics

Goal 1: By September 2026, ECMS will have 80 % of students scoring proficient in Reading and 70% of students scoring proficient in Mathematics.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2024, 60% of	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Activity – Professional Learning	Teacher QR Scans	30-60-90 Day Plan	RLIS
ECMS students will score	Standards All teachers will	Networks. All content teachers will	Implementation of		Title I
proficient or higher in	engage in professional	participate in district-facilitated	Learning		Title IV
Reading. ECMS will increase	learning to increase	"content clubs" that will be focused	Lesson Plans		
the percentage of all	knowledge and	on increasing teacher knowledge of	Walkthroughs		
students scoring proficient in	implementation of	standards and capacity to align	Walkernoagns		
Reading each year to have	standards-aligned instruction	instruction and assessment to			
70% scoring proficient by	and assessment.	content standards.			
2025.	and assessment.	Activity-School PLCs. All teachers	PLC Sign In	30-60-90 Day Plan	
		will participate in weekly school	Implementation of	30 00 30 Day 1 lan	
Yearly Reading Proficiency		PLCs which will focus on standards-	Learning		
Targets		aligned instruction including	Lesson Plans		
2024=60%		utilizing the Assignment Review	Walkthroughs		
2025=70%		Protocol to determine if lessons and	Walkern oagiis		
2026=80%		student work are aligned to			
2027=90%		standards			
		Activity-District PLCs. All teachers	PLC Agenda with	30-60-90 day plan and administrative	Title I
		will participate in monthly PLCs to	participants listed	observations of PLCs	110.0
Objective 2: By 2024, 50% of		focus on district-wide standards-	participants iistea	observations of Fees	
ECMS students will score		aligned instruction, curriculum			
proficient or higher in		alignment, assessment			
mathematics. ECMS will		development and data analysis for			
increase the percentage of all		continuous improvement.			
students scoring proficient in	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	Activity-Evidence-based	Implementation of	30-60-90 Day Plan	
Mathematics each year to	Instruction All teachers will	instructional strategies. All lesson	Learning		
have 60% scoring proficient	provide instruction through	plans will be developed to include	Lesson Plans		
by 2025	Explicit Instruction, the	high-yield, evidence-based	Walkthroughs		
•	district's Instructional	instructional strategies appropriate	113		
	delivery model.	for grade, content and standard(s).			

Goal 1: By September 2026, ECMS will have 80 % of students scoring proficient in Reading and 70% of students scoring proficient in Mathematics.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Yearly Mathematics					
Proficiency Targets		Activity-Explicit Instruction.	Lesson Plans	30-60-90 Day Plan	
		Teachers will be trained in and will	Walkthroughs		
2024=50%		develop lesson plans aligned to the	Student Work		
2025=60%		direct, explicit instruction model			
2026=70%		Activity-Deliberately Planning	Training	30-60-90 day plans	
2027=80%		Questions. All teachers will receive	documentation	Lesson Plans	
		training to deliberately plan	Lesson plans with	Planned Questions	
		questions that are aligned to	planned questions		
		standards, embedded in instruction,			
		and will be used to formatively			
		assess student learning.			
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Activity-Learning Targets. All	Implementation of	30-60-90 Day Plan	RLIS
	Assessment Literacy	teachers will receive training and	Learning		Title 1
		will develop clear learning targets	Lesson Plans		Title IV
		that are shared with students in	Walkthroughs		
		meaningful ways during instruction.	Learning Targets		
		Activity-Formative Assessment. All	Implementation of	30-60-90 Day Plan	RLIS
		teachers will be trained in	Learning		Title 1
		formative assessment purpose and	Lesson Plans		Title IV
		strategies and will develop	Walkthroughs		
		formative assessment aligned to	Formative		
		standards.	Assessments		,
			Student Work		

Goal 1: By September 2026, ECMS will have 80 % of students scoring proficient in Reading and 70% of students scoring proficient in Mathematics.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 3: By May 2025, all	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze	Activity-Universal Screener. All	IReady data	30-60-90 day plans	RLIS
ECMS PLCS will continue to	and Apply Data	teachers will utilize iReady interim	Data displays	iReady assessment windows	Title I
implement a school-wide		benchmark assessment as a	Lesson plans		Title IV
system of data review,		universal screener for reading	Observations		
analysis and application that		(including informational reading)	Walkthroughs		
utilizes formative,		and mathematics and utilize the	PLCs		
summative, benchmark and		data for instructional decision	Teacher conferencing		
interim assessment data to		making.			
determine priorities for					
student achievement.					
		Activity-formative assessment data	iReady data	30-60-90 day plans	Title I
		analysis. All teachers will develop	Data displays	Student data	
		and implement formative	Lesson plans	iReady assessment windows	
		assessment aligned to content	Observations		
		standards in their classroom and	Walkthroughs		
		utilize formative assessment data	PLCs		
		for instructional decision making at	Student data		
		a classroom, small group and	Teacher conferencing		
		individual student level to			
		individually name and claim			
		students for targeted interventions			
		and continuous improvement.			
		Activity-Data analysis for	iReady data	30-60-90 day plans	N/A
		continuous improvement. PLCs and	Data displays	Student data	
		faculty meetings will intentionally	Lesson plans	iReady assessment windows	
		include data reflections focused on	Observations		
		individual students (by name) to	Walkthroughs		
		target students for interventions,	PLCs		
		differentiation, and other support.	Student data		
			Teacher conferencing		

2: State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing

Goal 2: By September 2026, ECMS will have 70% scoring proficient in Science, ECMS will have 65% scoring proficient in Social Studies, and 85% students scoring proficient in Writing.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2024, ECMS	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Activity – Professional Learning	Teacher QR Scans	30-60-90 Day Plan	RLIS
will increase the percentage	Standards All teachers will	Academies. All teachers will	Implementation of	October 2022 Academy	Title 1
of all students scoring	engage in professional	participate in district-facilitated	Learning		Title IV
oroficient in Science	learning to increase	Academies once a month that will	Lesson Plans		
according to table below	knowledge and	be focused on increasing teacher	Walkthroughs		
_	implementation of	knowledge and capacity to align	_		
Yearly Reading Proficiency	standards-aligned instruction	instruction and assessment to			
Targets	and assessment.	content standards.			
2024=60%		Activity-PLCs. All teachers will	PLC Sign In	30-60-90 Day Plan	
2025=65%		participate in weekly PLCs which	Implementation of	PLC protocols and minutes/notes	
2026=70%		will focus on standards-aligned	Learning	Assignment review protocols	
2027=75%		instruction including utilizing the	Lesson Plans	Revised Lessons	
		Assignment Review Protocol to	Walkthroughs		
		determine if lessons and student	_		
Objective 2 By 2024, ECMS		work are aligned to standards			
will increase the percentage	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	Activity-Evidence-based	Implementation of	30-60-90 Day Plan	
of all students scoring	Instruction All teachers will	Instructional Strategies. All lesson	Learning	Lesson Plans	
proficient in Social Studies	provide instruction through	plans will be developed to include	Lesson Plans	Observations of instruction	
according to table below	Explicit Instruction, the	high-yield, evidence-based	Walkthroughs		
	district's Instructional	instructional strategies appropriate			
early Mathematics	delivery model.	for grade, content and standard(s)			
Proficiency Targets					
		Activity-Explicit, direct instruction.	Lesson Plans	30-60-90 Day Plan	
2024=55%		Teachers will develop lesson plans	PLC review of Lesson	Lesson Plans	
2025=60%		aligned to the direct, explicit	Plans	Observation of instruction	
2026=65%		instruction model	Walkthroughs		
2027=70%			Student Work		

	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Activity-Aligned learning targets	Implementation of	30-60-90 Day Plan	RLIS
	Assessment Literacy	and formative assessment. All	Learning	Lesson Plans	Title 1
		teachers will receive training and	Lesson Plans	Observation of Instruction	Title IV
		will develop clear learning targets	Walkthroughs		
		and formative assessments that are			
		congruent with grade level and			
		content standards and are shared			
		with students in meaningful ways			
		during instruction.			
Objective 3 By 2024, ECMS	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Activity – Professional Learning	Teacher QR Scans	30-60-90 Day Plan	RLIS
will increase the percentage	Standards All teachers will	Content Networks/clubs. All	Implementation of	District records of participation	Title 1
of all students scoring	engage in professional	content teachers will participate in	Learning		Title IV
proficient in Writing	learning to increase	district-facilitated content	Lesson Plans		
according to table below	knowledge and	networks/clubs that will be focused	Walkthroughs		
	implementation of	on increasing teacher knowledge			
Yearly Writing Proficiency	standards-aligned instruction	and capacity to align instruction			
Targets	and assessment.	and assessment to content			
		standards.			
2024=75%		Activity-PLCs. All teachers will	PLC Sign In	30-60-90 Day Plan	
2025=80%		participate in weekly PLCs which	Implementation of		
2026=85%		will focus on standards-aligned	Learning		
2027=90%		instruction including utilizing the	Lesson Plans		
		Assignment Review Protocol to	Walkthroughs		
		determine if lessons and student			
		work are aligned to standards			
	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	Activity-All lesson plans will be	Implementation of	30-60-90 Day Plan	
	Instruction All teachers will	developed to include high-yield,	Learning		
	provide instruction through	evidence-based instructional	Lesson Plans		
	Explicit Instruction, the	strategies appropriate for grade,	Walkthroughs		
	district's Instructional	content and standard(s)			
	delivery model.				
		Activity-Teachers will develop	Lesson Plans	30-60-90 Day Plan	
		lesson plans aligned to the direct,	Walkthroughs		
		explicit instruction model	Student Work		

KCWP 3	P 3: Design and Deliver	Activity-All teachers will receive	Implementation of	30-60-90 Day Plan	
Assessr	ssment Literacy	training and will develop clear	Learning		Title 1
		learning targets and formative	Lesson Plans		ESSER
		assessments that are shared with	Walkthroughs		
		students in meaningful ways during			
		instruction.			

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Activity-All teachers, including	Teacher QR Scan	30-60-90 Day Plan	RLIS
ECMS will reduce the	Standards	special education will be trained	Lesson Plans	District Monitoring	Title I
percentage of students with		in PLCs and district academies in	Teacher-developed or		Title IV
disabilities scoring novice in	Regular Education and	KAS for Reading (Breaking Down a	aligned assessments		
reading by 50% and increase	Special Education Teachers	Standard, Assignment Review	-Student work		
the percentage scoring	will collaborate to deepen	Protocol, designing standards-			
proficient by 10% in 2023-	knowledge, understanding	aligned instruction and			
2024.	and expertise of grade and	assessment) and will utilize this			
	content level standards.	learning in instructional and			
		assessment design.			
		Activity-Special Education	-Collaboratively	30-60-90 Day Plan	
		teachers will participate in grade	designed instructional	District Monitoring	
		and content specific PLCs on a	plans		
		monthly basis to collaborate with	-Observation of co-		
		regular education on standards-	planning during PLCs		

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		aligned, specially-designed instruction.	-Observation of lessons that were collaboratively		
			designed by regular and special education		
			teachers		
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Activity-All teachers will be	Teacher QR Scans	30-60-90 Day Plan	RLIS
	Instruction	trained in Specially Designed	Lesson plans that reflect	District Monitoring	Title I
		Instruction for Reading and Adolescent Literacy Strategies and	specially designed instruction		Title IV Title I
		will implement Specially Designed	Observation of Specially		THE T
		Instruction in lessons and	Designed Instruction		
		assessments.	-Student Work		
		Activity-Explicit Instruction-	-Student data -Lesson Plans	30-60-90 Day Plan	
		Special Education Students will	-Observations	District Monitoring	
		continue to receive targeted Tier I	-Student Work	g .	
		instruction aligned to grade level			
		content standards utilizing			
		evidence-based, high-yield instructional strategies.			
	KCWP 5: Design, align,	Activity-Student reading data	-School MTSS meeting	30-60-90 Day Plan	
	Deliver Support Processes	(iReady, diagnostic, formative	agendas	District Monitoring	
		assessment, attendance,	-MTSS documentation		
		behavior, etc.) will be monitored regularly and utilized to	-Observations -Student data		
		implement a school-wide MTSS	Student data		
		system of academic and			
		behavioral supports			
Objective 2	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Activity-All teachers, including	-Sign in Scans	30-60-90 Day Plan	RLIS
ECMS will reduce the	Standards	special education will be trained in PLCS and district academies in	-Agendas -Teacher Lesson Plans	District Monitoring	Title I Title IV
percentage of students with disabilities scoring novice in	Regular Education and	KAS for Mathematics (Breaking	-Student work		Title IV
mathematics by 50% and	Special Education Teachers	Down a Standard, Assignment	Staucht Work		

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
increase the percentage scoring proficient by 10% in 2023-2024.	will collaborate to deepen knowledge, understanding and expertise of grade and	Review Protocol, designing standards-aligned instruction and assessment)			
	content level standards.	Activity-Special Education teachers will participate in grade and content specific PLCs on a monthly basis to collaborate with regular education on standardsaligned instruction	-Sign in Scans -Agendas -Teacher Lesson Plans -Student work	30-60-90 Day Plan District Monitoring	
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Activity-All teachers will be trained in Specially Designed Instruction for mathematics by September 2024 and will utilize Specially Designed Instruction in daily instruction	-Sign in Scans -Agendas -Teacher Lesson Plans -Student work -Observations	30-60-90 Day Plan District Monitoring	RLIS Title I Title IV
	KCWP 5: Design, align, Deliver Support Processes	Activity-Student math data (iReady, diagnostic, formative assessment, attendance, behavior, etc.) will be monitored regularly and utilized to implement a school-wide MTSS system of academic and behavioral supports	-School MTSS meeting agendas -MTSS documentation -Observations -Student data	30-60-90 Day Plan District Monitoring	
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Activity-All teachers will be trained in specific strategies for teaching students of poverty in reading and mathematics.	-Sign in Scans -Agendas -Teacher Lesson Plans -Student work -Observations	30-60-90 Day Plan District Monitoring	RLIS Title I Title IV
	KCWP 5: Design, align, Deliver Support Processes	Activity-MTSS system with supports for SEL will be implemented and utilized with students who have been identified as needing interventions	-MTSS records	30-60-90 day plans	

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5: By May 2024, the district quality of school climate and safety score will reach 85.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By May 2024, East Carter Middle School will have a	KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment	Activity-The district will purchase and implement an SEL curriculum for students.	Sample curriculum Student work	This strategy will be included in the monthly 30 Day Plan as needed. January 2024-December 2024	ESSER/ARP
quality of school climate and safety score of 85 or higher with a 5% increase or more each year 2024-85% 2025-90% 2026-95% 2027-100% The district will support schools as they create caring, nurturing, and safe learning environments in which opportunities for student success are being optimized.	Activity-PBIS will be implemented at all district schools.	IC data	This strategy will be included in the monthly 30 Day Plan as needed. January 2024-December 2024	NA	
	success are being optimized.	Activity-District parent events will be held quarterly.	Sign in sheets Social media Other evidence	This strategy will be included in the monthly 30 Day Plan as needed. January 2024-December 2024	Title I
		Activity-Title I parent engagement funds will be allocated to support school parent engagement events and activities.	GMAP/MUNIS budget and expenditures Photos, etc.	This strategy will be included in the monthly 30 Day Plan as needed. January 2024-December 2024	Title I
		Activity-Professional learning sessions will be offered at opening conference to help teachers enhance learning culture and environment.	Agenda/Program Attendance/Sign ins	This strategy will be included in the monthly 30 Day Plan as needed. January 2024-December 2024	NA

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:

Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups?

Response: The district instructional team will provide regular support to the principals of the school through weekly coaching, principal PLCs, calibration walkthroughs with the principal, collaborative data analysis, and continued capacity building of the school leadership team to use data to make instructional decisions, conduct classroom walkthroughs and observations to collect relevant evidence and data of standards-aligned instruction and impact on student outcomes, and professional learning and support to provide meaningful feedback that impacts professional practice and student outcomes. Support will also include district -led professional learning academies focused on CSIP goals, support for school-led PLCs focused on CSIP goals, and support for the principal and the leadership team on identified professional growth goals.

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.

Response: School teams with participation from district leadership will engage in shared data analysis and systematic needs assessments to analyze learner needs (using all data sources including interim benchmark data, KSA data, IC data, perception data, demographic data, process data, program data, trend data) and adjust the allocation and management of human, material, digital and fiscal resources to ensure equity for learning. We will ensure adjustments to resource allocation are consistently based on current data.

Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response: Classroom observations, empathy interviews and shadowing students throughout a school day will allow teachers and administrators to see how learning experiences are structured for students and provide qualitative data for program improvement for students with disabilities.

Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

Response:

Data triangulation with KSA, iReady, and classroom data are analyzed to determine the causes of underperformance of students with disabilities. A district/school team is assembled to analyze other sources of data including perception data, IC data, and instructional resources being used not only with students with disabilities but with all students to determine where gaps in instruction were occurring. The school/district leadership met with two of our regional cooperatives to discuss our data and potential root causes for our underperformance and gaps with students of disabilities. We also analyzed our professional learning plans and determined we have had a gap in providing professional learning in specially designed instruction, training in Supplementary Aids and Services and training in co-teaching strategies which are all integral for success with students with disabilities. We also know that this year (2023-2024) we have an emphasis on teacher professional learning on the Kentucky Academic Standards, improved and planned questioning, and formative assessment. Our needs assessment data indicated to us that our teachers both wanted and needed more in-depth training in their content standards. We have not always included our special education teachers in all professional learning as they have engaged in special education —specific training. With the release of KSA data, however, we will make a concerted effort to include special education teachers in this KAS professional learning as it is critical that they also have an in-depth understanding of their grade level, content standards. To summarize this needs assessment identified the following needs:

- -Teacher professional learning in Kentucky Academic Standards (including Breaking Down a Standard, Assignment Review Protocol, Depth of Knowledge)
- -Ongoing teacher professional learning in Explicit Instruction
- -Ongoing teacher professional learning in questioning, discussion and total participation techniques
- -Teacher professional learning in Specially Designed Instruction and Supplementary Aids and Services
- -Principal professional learning and coaching in observing/providing evidence-based feedback for standards-aligned instruction

Our district MTSS procedures have been revised and teachers need ongoing training in these procedures. This will be supported at the district level as noted in the CSIP and below -District support in continuous improvement of school MTSS system (training for school MTSS team, monitoring MTSS processes, reviewing existing procedures/forms, observing lessons and interventions and providing feedback for improvement).

Training in analyzing data for continuous improvement and adolescent literacy strategies will be conducted.

A personalized professional learning approach will continue to be implemented to target the personalized professional growth needs of teachers. Observations, self-reflections, surveys, and analysis of data provides information regarding the needs of staff are analyzed and a LIVE professional learning calendar is developed that teachers and administrators can utilize to select appropriate professional learning. Principal will approve professional learning plans of each staff person to ensure professional learning needs are being met.

Principal will participate in ongoing District Principal PLCs that provide professional learning to principal in need areas identified through needs assessments.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Documenting Evidence under ESSA" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\boxtimes
Provide teacher (general education and special education teachers) professional learning to increase teacher knowledge and expertise in Kentucky Academic Standards.	Kentucky Department of Education Model Curriculum Framework https://education.ky.gov/curriculum/standards/kyacadstand/Documents/Model Curriculum Framework.pdf	Х
Provide teacher (general education and special education teachers) professional learning in explicit instruction.	Archer, A. & Hughes, C. (2011). Explicit Instruction: Effective and Efficient Teaching. Guilford Press. Kentucky Department of Education Explicit Teaching and Modeling https://education.ky.gov/curriculum/standards/kyacadstand/Documents/EBIP 3 Explicit Teaching and Modeling.pdf	
	Improving Adolescent Literacy and Effective Classroom Intervention Practices https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/adlit_pg_082608.pdf Doabler, C. & Fien, H. (2013). Explicit Mathematics Instruction: What Teachers Can Do for Teaching Students With Mathematics Difficulties. Intervention in School and Clinic 48(5) 276–285.	X

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Provide principals professional learning in observing instruction, identifying standards-aligned instruction, and providing evidence-based feedback.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY. https://visible-learning.org/hattie-ranking-influences-effect-sizes-learning-achievement/ CCSSO Revised Definition of Formative Assessment https://ccsso.org/sites/default/files/2018-06/Revising%20the%20Definition%20of%20Formative%20Assessment.pdf	х
Provide all teachers and principals professional learning in Specially Designed Instruction to target the needs of students with disabilities in the regular classroom.	https://education.ky.gov/specialed/excep/forms/Pages/IEP-Guidance-and-Documents.aspx	х

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:
Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. Response:

Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Documenting Evidence under ESSA" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	